

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW MEXICO**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, and)
STATE OF NEW MEXICO, ex rel. STATE)
ENGINEER,)
)
Plaintiffs,)
)
and)
)
ZUNI INDIAN TRIBE, NAVAJO NATION,)
)
Plaintiffs in Intervention,)
)
v.)
)
A & R PRODUCTIONS, et al.)
)
Defendants.)
_____)

No. 01cv00072-MV-WPL

ZUNI RIVER BASIN
ADJUDICATION

Subfile No. ZRB-2-0077

ORDER SETTING PRETRIAL CONFERENCE

Plaintiffs’ Joint Motion to Set Pretrial Conference is this subfile action (Doc. 3168) is GRANTED. A **Pretrial Conference** will be held by teleconference on **February 24, 2016, at 9:30 a.m.** The parties will call the Court’s “meet-me” line at **(505) 348-2356** to participate in the Pretrial Conference. It is recommended that a reliable long-distance carrier be used to ensure sound quality. **This line can only accommodate up to five telephone lines, including the Court’s. If the parties anticipate that they will exceed this capacity, they must contact the Court immediately so that alternative arrangements may be made.**


The parties, appearing through counsel or pro se, shall “meet and confer” no later than twenty-one (21) days before the Pretrial Conference. The parties will cooperate in preparing a Joint Status Report and Provisional Discovery Plan (JSR) that follows the sample JSR available at the Court’s web site. The blanks for suggested dates are to be filled in by the parties. Actual dates will be promulgated by order of the Court. The time allowed for discovery is generally 180 days. Plaintiffs shall be responsible for filing the JSR by **February 17, 2016**.

Good cause must be shown and the Court’s express and written approval obtained for any modification of the dates in the scheduling order that issues from the JSR.

Initial disclosures must be made within fourteen (14) days of the meet-and-confer session. *See* FED. R. CIV. P. 26(a)(1).

Counsel should be prepared to discuss discovery needs and scheduling, all claims and defenses, initial disclosures, and the timing of expert disclosures and reports under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a)(2). Counsel should also be prepared to discuss the use of scientific evidence and whether a *Daubert* hearing is needed.¹

IT IS SO ORDERED.



William P. Lynch
United States Magistrate Judge

¹ *See Daubert v. Merrell Dow Pharm.*, 509 U.S. 579 (1993).