## **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEW MEXICO**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, and STATE OF NEW MEXICO, ex rel. STATE ENGINEER,

Plaintiffs,

NO. CV 01-72 BB/WDS and

**ZUNI INDIAN TRIBE and** NAVAJO NATION,

Plaintiffs-in-Intervention,

**ZUNI RIVER BASIN** VS. **ADJUDICATION** 

STATE OF NEW MEXICO COMMISSIONER OF PUBLIC LANDS and

Subfile No.: ZRB-4-0115

A & R PRODUCTIONS, et. al., Defendants.

## **SUBFILE ANSWER**

**NOW ENTERING COURT** is William G. Stripp, Attorney at Law, on behalf of Pamela Davis, who answers the complaint as follows:

- 1. Defendant Pamela Davis objects to the description of water rights contained in the proposed Consent Order offered by the United States and the State of New Mexico concerning Subfile Number ZRB-4-0115.
- 2. The objection to the description of the water rights described by the proposed Consent Order for Subfile Number ZRB-4-0115 is made because the offer of 1.61 acre feet for per annum for well number 3C-6-W006 does not accurately reflect either

historical beneficial use or future needs. The well services a full section (640 acres) of land, which includes an orchard, a house with additional fruit trees, a garden which supplies several families and the local Farmer's Market, and livestock, including cattle and horses. Defendant believes that 3.0 acre feet per annum is an appropriate amount.

- 3. Defendant made a good faith effort to resolve her disagreement with the Consent Order proposed by the United States and the State by meeting with representatives of the Plaintiffs. While Defendant believes that the current offer presented in the Consent Order is unacceptable, Defendant is willing to continue negotiations in an attempt to resolve the parties' differences.
- 4. Defendant understands that by making this claim and filing this document she is not waiving her right to later raise in an Amended Answer, any jurisdictional or affirmative defenses she may have.
- 5. Defense counsel is using a slightly modified version of the Subfile Answer form presented with the Notice That the Consultation Period Has Ended rather than a customized pleading, because it appears that is what the Court wants.

Date: October 8, 2010 Respectfully submitted,

----signed electronically-----

WILLIAM G. STRIPP ATTORNEY AT LAW P.O. BOX 159

RAMAH, NEW MEXICO 87321 Telephone: (505) 783-4138

Facsimile: (505) 783-4139

## **Certificate of Service**

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on October 8, 2010, I filed the foregoing electronically through the CM/ECF system, which caused counsel and parties pro se who have entered an appearance to be served by electronic means. ---signed electronically----